

— FLUORIDATION —

Mind Control of the Masses?

Despite damning evidence on fluoride toxicity, bureaucracies and multinationals continue to pollute our drinking water and mess with our physical and mental health.

Part 1

by Ian E. Stephens

Extracted with permission from his 1987 self-published booklet

*The Dickinson Statement:
A Mind-Boggling Thesis*

This story has a beginning, it has a middle but it has no ending. The 'ending' will undoubtedly be written in countless hospital records, on tiny gravestones, in the bones of the crippled and on the hearts of the bereaved. Even so, the true cause of the 'ending' will never be advertised. One record that slipped past the establishment net is duplicated herein but, believe it or not, the existence of this 'death certificate' has been denied, in writing and to overseas scientific inquiry, by Australian administrators.

What follows is but a fractional part of the 'middle' of a story that began before the turn of the century and which was provoked by a statement contained in an "Address in Reply to the Governor's Speech to Parliament", as recorded in Victorian *Hansard* of 12 August 1987, by Mr Harley Rivers Dickinson, Liberal Party Member of the Victorian Parliament for South Barwon. Hence the title.

The relevant *Hansard* abstract is reproduced herewith. It is emphasised that the writer imputes Mr Dickinson with no other responsibility for the contents of this thesis than being the parliamentary 'trigger' which motivated it and the researched data which it contains.

"At the end of the Second World War, the United States Government sent Charles Eliot Perkins, a research worker in chemistry, biochemistry, physiology and pathology, to take charge of the vast Farben chemical plants in Germany.

"While there he was told by German chemists of a scheme which had been worked out by them during the war and adopted by the German General Staff.

"This was to control the population in any given area through mass medication of drinking water. In this scheme, sodium fluoride occupied a prominent place.

"Repeated doses of infinitesimal amounts of fluoride will in time reduce an individual's power to resist domination by slowly poisoning and narcotising a certain area of the brain and will thus make him submissive to the will of those who wish to govern him.

"Both the Germans and the Russians added sodium fluoride to the drinking water of prisoners of war to make them stupid and docile."

In a book written by Dr Hans Moolenburgh of Haarlem, Holland, called *Fluoride—The Freedom Fight*, the author describes the ultimately successful endeavours to free the Dutch people from water fluoridation. (Those endeavours included the only properly conducted double-blind study ever done anywhere in the world into the effects of tap water fluoridated at one part of fluoride to 1,000,000 parts of water [1 p.p.m.])

One brief passage is headed "Perkins", and a reproduction of that section gives sufficient confirmation, by a remote and independent source, of the "Dickinson Statement" as printed in *Hansard*, to reinforce the need for additional investigation into this one 'behaviour control' aspect of the 'fluoride debate' and relate it to 1987. (The entire "Perkins" paragraph is reproduced below.)

Elsewhere in this book, Dr Moolenburgh also relates how that first "Perkins" anecdote was confirmed in differing ways and from reliable independent sources.

"PERKINS"

"When 1971 was drawing to a close, I received a paper containing a strange story. This story was to haunt us repeatedly throughout the long, drawn-out battle. It was a story resembling science fiction—bizarre and unbelievable. There are those who warned me not to even mention this story, and I can appreciate why. On the other hand, the task

of an historian is not to relate things as they should have happened, but as they actually did happen.

"...The Perkins saga was different from other fluoride stories.

"The story of industries hoodwinking the public into buying a pollutant as a medicine is simply 'whodunnit'. Grottesque though it may sound, it is no more than 'the consumer fraud of this polluted century' (as described in Fluoridation and Truth Decay by Gladys Caldwell). But the story I now read was different: it gave one the cold shivers. It told of a chemical engineer, Mr Perkins, who related how immediately after the Second World War he was one the Americans put into the well-known I. G. Farben Company in Germany. There he discovered that I. G. Farben had developed plans during the war to fluoridate the occupied countries, because it had been found that fluoridation caused slight damage to a specific part of the brain. This damage had a very particular effect. It made it more difficult for the person affected to defend his freedom. He became more docile towards authority.

"Scientists in the camps of both opponents and proponents of fluoride have always dismissed this story as mere poppycock, but it had a life of its own and reared its head time and again. It fed the suspicions of many people that 'there was more to fluoridation than meets the eye'.

"As far as I know, there is no one who has done any serious research into whether the fluoridated person is really more docile, easier to rule, more impressed by authority than the non-fluoridated one. There is, though, one peculiar thing: every Dutch doctor has a medical reference book for 1984. One of the chapters is entitled "Tranquillisers". Looking at the "minor tranquillisers" I find twenty-four substances: their chemical formulae do not show any connection with fluoride. However, there is also a heading, "major tranquillisers". Of those there are twenty-seven, and seven of them are a fluoride compound. One of these is Semap. It is one of the strongest anti-psychotic substances we know. This means that twenty-five per cent of the major tranquillisers are connected with fluoride. I do not draw any conclusions. The only thing one can say at this point is, with Alice: 'curiouser and curiouser!'"

INDUCED APATHY

Actually there is little that is novel in the concept of controlling the minds and manners of the multitude by chemical/dietary means. That it was practised by Hitler's regime is made the more credible when we know that as far back as 1938 the US Government, an ally to boot, and the government of a democratic Christian country, was considering the transformation of American citizens and others into 'zombies' by a number of proposed techniques. The US Army searched for "the perfect incapacitating agent", according to General Fellenz, "to put in the enemy's water supply". Included in the drugs tested were the hallucinogenic LSD and the amnesiac BZ (10 times more potent than LSD), and a "schizophrenic agent called bulbocapine".

The "Rockefeller Report" to the United States President on CIA activities said: "The drug program was part of a much larger CIA program to study possible means of controlling human behaviour".

One drug which received special attention in the 'fifties and early 'sixties, under the cryptonym of *MK-ULTRA*, was suxamethonium chloride (listed under a number of product names including Anectine), a halogenated anti-cholinergic agent with all the symptomatic side-effects up to and including cardiac arrest ascribed to these agents in the medical literature.

Please retain the term "anti-cholinergic agent" in mind, for owing to the health implications attendant on this particular anti-metabolic activity, it figures repeatedly in this thesis.

Note: An adviser to the US Government on hypnotism or psychological behaviour control, Dr George Estabrooks, later became Chairman, Department of Psychology, Colgate University. Internationally, Colgate was and remains the most ardent producer and advocate for the fluorination of a domestic product—fluoridated toothpaste.

Now, should the reader's mind be already boggled to the point of disbelief, there are two facts which, if known about and/or remembered, may instil sufficient confidence in that which is even more 'boggling' and is yet to come.


Bromine (or bromide) tea was administered to the enlisted men during the First and Second World Wars.

The halogen (bromine) ingredient was said to quell the libido of the men and thus limit likely forays into the 'dens of iniquity' and the acquisition of venereal disease.

The second fact is that, today, all Australian military establishments provide their own halogenated (fluoridated) water for the troops resident therein, for the official reason that the element is good for the developing teeth.

Either we have a very immature military force, all under that age of twelve years when the mythical effect of fluoride ceases to exist, or else there is another more obscure, less altruistic reason for this drug 'treatment' of the troops.

Later on in this text we will recall certain happenings relative to ex-trainees from these establishments and the paradoxical effects of fluorides on behaviour of the human being.



Left: Sodium Fluoride sold as rat poison. Right: Alcoa Advertisement, 1950.

THE CARTEL

The Parliamentary "Dickinson Statement" refers to "the vast Farben chemical plants in Germany".

It has been said by Anthony C. Sutton in his book, *Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler*: "Without the capital supplied by Wall Street, there would have been no I. G. Farben in the first place, and almost certainly no Adolph Hitler and World War II."

Interessen Gemeinschaft Farben (Interessen Gemeinschaft der Deutschen Teerfarbenindustrie, or, simply, I. G. Farben) was a German chemical manufacturing concern that supplied the chlorine gas used by Germany during the First World War, but the eventual creation of the huge I. G. Farben cartel began in 1924 when American bankers began to arrange foreign loans in what Professor Carroll Quigley terms "the Dawes Plan", "largely a J. P. Morgan production".

In 1928 Henry Ford merged his German assets with I. G. Farben, to be followed by the American Standard Oil Company (the Rockefellers) who, in concert with I. G. Farben, developed the coal-to-oil hydrogenation process.

In a letter to Roosevelt from Berlin in the early 'thirties, the US Ambassador in Germany, William Dodd, said:

"At the present moment, more than a hundred American corporations have subsidiaries here or cooperative understandings.

"The DuPonts have their allies in Germany that are aiding in the armament business. Their chief ally is the I. G. Farben Company, a part of the government which gives 200,000 marks a year to one propaganda organization operating on American opinion.

"Standard Oil Company...sent US\$2,000,000 here in December 1933 and has made US\$500,000 a year helping Germans make ersatz [a substitute] gas [the hydrogenation process of converting coal to gasoline] for war purposes; but Standard Oil cannot take any of its earnings out of the country except in goods.

"The International Harvester Company president told me their business here rose 33% a year [arms manufacture, I believe], but they could take nothing out.

"Even our airplanes people have secret arrangements with Krupps.

"General Motors Company and Ford do enormous business here through their subsidiaries and take no profits out."

The I. G. Farben assets in America were controlled by a holding company, American I. G. Farben, which listed on its Board of Directors: Edsel Ford, President of the Ford Motor Company; Chas. E. Mitchell, President of Rockefeller's National City Bank of New York; Walter Teagle, President of Standard Oil of New York; Paul Warburg, Chairman of the Federal Reserve and brother of Max Warburg, financier of Germany's war effort; and Herman Metz, a Director of the Bank of Manhattan, controlled by the Warburgs.

It is an interesting fact of history that three other members of the Board of American I. G. Farben were tried and convicted as German "war criminals" for their "crimes against humanity" during World War II, while serving on the I. G. Farben Board of

Governors. None of the Americans who sat on the same board as those convicted was ever tried as a "war criminal".

Throughout the entire Second World War conflict, not one bomb fell on the I. G. Farben headquarters in Frankfurt, Germany, allegedly as a consequence of Allied orders.

In 1938, I. G. Farben borrowed 500 tons of tetra-ethyl lead, the gasoline additive, from Standard Oil.

During 1939, the year Germany invaded Austria and Poland, the Standard Oil Company of New Jersey loaned I. G. Farben US\$20,000,000 worth of high-grade gasoline.

In 1939 the American Aluminium Company (Alcoa), then probably the world's largest producer of sodium fluoride, transferred its technology to Germany (the Alted Agreement). The Dow Chemical Company transmitted its experience and technology in that same period.

Germany's two largest tank manufacturers were Opel, a subsidiary of General Motors (J. P. Morgan), and the German subsidiary of the Ford Motor Company.

Even with the purchase of oil from non-German sources, the major supplier of oil was still the Farben cartel. The I. G. Farben-Standard Oil co-operation for the production of synthetic oil gave the I. G. Farben cartel a monopoly on German gasoline production. Just under one half of the Germans' high-octane gasoline in 1945 was produced directly by I. G. Farben, and most of the balance by its affiliated companies.

So, in 1941 when cylinders of Zyklon B, the deadly cyanide-based extermination gas made by I. G. Farben, were lethally unvalved on inmates of Auschwitz, Bitterfeld, Walfen, Hoechst, Agfa, Ludwigshafen and Buchenwald, there were more than substantial links between huge American technology and German manufacturers.

Two questions must be asked here: (a) Was I. G. Farben associated with the formulation of Sarin and/or Soman, the German-developed fluorinated nerve gases that made Zyklon B little more than an underarm deodorant by comparison?; and (b) What of I. G. Farben today?

The answer to (a) is an unequivocal yes! As for (b), I. G. Farben signed cartel agreements with the such companies as Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI), Borden, Carnation, General Mills, M. W. Kellogg Co., Nestlé and Pet Milk, and I. G. Farben either owns outright, has had a substantial interest in or has had other cartel agreements with Owl Drug, Parke-Davis and Co., Bayer and Co., Whitehall Laboratories, Chef-Boy-Ar-Dee Foods, Bristol Meyers and Squibb and Sons. The list goes on and on and on and includes Proctor and Gamble who 'domesticated' the word "fluoride" with official encouragement in 1958, being the originators of the infamous "Crest" fluoridated toothpaste campaign.

The only reference to "Farben" traceable in a limited search of modern literature was in 25th Edition of Martindale, under "F.B.A. Pharmaceutical Limited; Products of Farbenfabriken Bayer".

All corporate traces of the huge I. G. cartel have been absorbed by the hundreds, if not thousands, of one-time cartel members, but the 'malady lingers on'...

"However—and I want to make this very definite and positive—the real reason behind water fluoridation is not to benefit children's teeth.

If this were the real reason, there are many ways in which it could be done which are much easier, cheaper and far more effective.

The real purpose behind water fluoridation is to reduce the resistance of the masses to domination and control and loss of liberty..."

FOUNDATIONS

In Australia, the Dental Health and Research Foundation which has such names as Colgate, Kellogg and other ex-I. G. Farben associates listed among its governors and contributors has been irreverently but accurately dubbed "the fluoride mafia". Closely allied with this Sydney University 'foundation', in its printed promotional claims for fluorides and fluoridation, is Foundation 41. Unfortunately, the data of the "thorough investigations" said to have been carried out by the latter 'foundation' into the halogen fluoride, its benefits and its hazards, have never been made available despite numerous appeals. An ABC science show's examination of the scientific integrity of Foundation 41 may explain the elusive (or is the word illusory?) data.

America is literally bursting at the seams with such foundations, but amongst the earlier names were The Rockefeller Foundation, The Carnegie Foundation and The Ford Foundation. It is necessary to mention these specifically because they were the first foundations to make grants in the population (control) field, and the Carnegie family merged with the Mellon family Institute to create the Carnegie-Mellon University in Pittsburgh in 1967.

The Mellon family were the founders of the original Mellon Institute whence came the "amazing (but totally fallacious) sodium fluoride/dental caries prevention discovery"—the discovery that literally turned 'garbage into gold'. The Mellon family also founded the American Aluminium Company (Alcoa), the largest producer of the waste toxin, sodium fluoride, which, up to this point of 'caries discovery', had relatively little known commercial or industrial value, was an environmental hazard of great public concern, and was very costly in terms of safe disposal.

So we retrace our steps to the "Dickinson Statement" in *Hansard* and Chas Eliot Perkins.

In a letter abstracted from *Fluoridation and Lawlessness* (published by the Committee for Mental Health and National Security) to the Lee Foundation for Nutritional Research, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on 2nd October 1954, a Charles Eliot Perkins, scientist and author of Washington, DC, and, one must assume, that same Charles Eliot Perkins of the "Dickinson Statement" to the Victorian Parliament, said this (and the words of the last two lines of the second paragraph cannot be overemphasised):

"We are told by the fanatical ideologists who are advocating the fluoridation of the water supplies in this country that their purpose is to reduce the incidence of tooth decay in children, and it is the

plausibility of this excuse, plus the gullibility of the public and the cupidity of public officials that is responsible for the present spread of artificial water fluoridation in this country.


"However—and I want to make this very definite and positive—the real reason behind water fluoridation is not to benefit children's teeth. If this were the real reason, there are many ways in which it could be done which are much easier, cheaper and far more effective. The real purpose behind water fluoridation is to reduce the resistance of the masses to domination and control and loss of liberty...

"When the Nazis, under Hitler, decided to go into Poland...the German General Staff and the Russian

General Staff exchanged scientific and military ideas, plans and personnel and the scheme of mass control through water medication was seized upon by the Russian Communists because it fitted ideally into their plan to communize the world...

"I say this in all earnestness and sincerity of a scientist who has spent nearly 20 years research into the chemistry, bio-chemistry, physiology and pathology of fluorine: any person who drinks artificially fluorinated water for a period of one year or more will never again be the same person, mentally or physically."

Mr Perkins does not involve America, American institutions or individuals in his assertions, but when Major



George Racey Jordan was in charge of the massive 'lend-lease airlift' operations from Great Falls, Montana, to Russia via Alaska, he queried the transshipment of considerable amounts of sodium fluoride via Fairbanks, Alaska, to Russia. He was told "frankly" that it was to put into the drinking water in the prisoner-of-war camps to take away their will to resist.

The published knowledge that sodium fluoride was known for this mind- and behaviour-changing potential was available at the start of this century, as witness such entries as "Fluoricum Acidum" (*Encyclopaedia of Pure Materia Medica*, vol. ix, p. 333).

Continued on page 73

Continued from page 28

America has long been interested in behaviour and mind control by chemical means. Americans who were at once financiers, industrialists and population-control advocates were intimately involved with Germany where chemical extermination and chemical behaviour control by, *inter alia*, sodium fluoride, were placed into practice. America transferred this knowledge and material, *inter alia*, to Russia during its 'lend-lease' co-operation. An American major producer of aluminium was the world's major source of sodium fluoride. American 'education and research' funded by (a) the major sodium fluoride producer, and (b) a 'foundation' involved in population control, was the origin of 'the dental caries prevention myth' associated with sodium fluoride.

A predominantly American company was the first to exploit this concept in dentifrice production, and American 'medical research' figured largely in the 'fluorination' processes that converted the negative embarrassment of mounting industrial waste to huge positive profit increases—processes that other countries hastened to

emulate; processes which include the enormous outlet for fluorides in the fluoridation of water supplies.

Although fertiliser production has not been a part of this thesis, the following 'connections' with this point should be made. The manufacturing processes for synthetic dyes, explosives and fertiliser are almost chemically synonymous, and I. G. Farben was expert in all production phases of these 'necessities'. Sodium silico-fluoride was a waste constituent from the refining of phosphate rock, until a 'use' could be found or created for the waste.

A letter (reproduced on page 28) from the United States Environmental Protection Agency throws the spotlight on the unique 'waste disposal via the public kidney' concept that was created.

SO WHAT?

The question raised by the *Hansard* "Dickinson Statement", and perhaps aggravated by this dissertation and data, is this: Was the Australian Government, in 1962-3 when it illegally (Australian Constitution, Section 51 [xxiiiA]) introduced "fluoridation of water supplies" to Australia, aware of its grotesque actions and of the grave

results? The 'shonky' method of introducing, plus legal and official correspondence at that time, indicates in the affirmative.

Was the Crisp Royal Commission, when it 'found' in favour of fluoridation, and, incidentally, in favour of the major polluter and producer of fluoride in Tasmania (Electrolytic Zinc Corp., Risdon, Hobart), aware of the consequences of any such favourable findings? Conditions then and since mingle with some incredible documented coincidences, and unbelievable but documented official behaviour, including that of premiers and health ministers, and the paucity of current State parliamentary investigations into fluorine-based environment problems, to convince the most sceptical that it was.

Was the Victorian Premier (Sir Rupert Hamer, who was later to publicly declare the dictatorial credo, "It was always tempting to override the people and impose a new order"), aware of these background facts when he instituted indemnified water fluoridation legislation and a subsequent fraudulently conceived and executed cover-up "inquiry"? (*Ballarat Courier*, 6 October

Continued on page 74

Continued from page 73

1982) Irrespective of the obvious familial supplier advantages, it seems certain that he was so aware. Widespread publicity regarding subsequent alleged complex land company fraud transactions would seemingly underwrite the existence of the necessary character attributes to perform as implied.

Are the lies, denials, fabrications and evasions evident in Geelong with two fluoride pollution sources (aluminium smelting and fertiliser production), and Portland with ditto sources, no more than "job protection" and "rate protection" scares as is claimed, or is there a deeper, wider federal or international instructional background behind the unmonitored pollution, with the health detriment therefrom and the 'secrecy legislation' invoked for the Victorian aluminium industry?

[Was] Mrs Thatcher's astronomical expenditure of United Kingdom revenue on a fluoridation campaign in Northern Ireland a matronly concern for the youngsters' teeth, or perhaps an attempt to sedate the people and render them subservient to her autocratic dictates? Remember this. Mrs

Thatcher has a background of academic chemistry and would be far from ignorant of the tranquillising effects of the halogens and their halides.

Similarly, her legal and political shenanigans (that is the only word to describe her behaviour) in the England fluoridation scene offer many avenues for questioning of her motives.

Are the lies, fabrications, omissions, evasions and official hysteria in the federal government and bureaucracy at the very mention of fluorides, fluoride pollution or water fluoridation, also common to the state governments and bureaucracies, and repeatedly backed up by the most lunatic science (as witness, the ministerially approved and internationally derided propaganda from the National Health and Medical Research Council), royal and vice-regal intransigence, a frightening exhibition of this grim foreknowledge, all of which has abundant documentary support, or simply no more than dastardly coincidence?

∞

To be continued in the next edition
(Oct-Nov 1995) of NEXUS Magazine...

Copies of
The Dickinson Statement
are available for
AUD\$6.00 each (in
Aust), AUD\$7.00 each
(airmail to NZ), or
AUD\$10.00 each (air-
mail to UK/Eur/USA)
from:

*The Australian
Fluoridation News*

GPO Box 935G
Melbourne, Vic. 3001
Australia

Fax: +61 (3) 592 4544

(Subscriptions: Six issues per
year, AUD\$15.00 Aust/NZ inc.
p&h, or AUD\$20.00 o/s airmail)